

schools of this state. Now, it could be that we could just put common schools, and the Legislature would not have to provide for them. I don't...I think you're nit-picking, I think it's harassment, and indeed I wish that if you felt so strongly that you would have participated in our victims summit where we, indeed, went through all of these words and attorneys have gone over this. And now it is Select File and we are eliminating a part that, if it is indeed so innocuous, and indeed so worthless, I don't know why you would oppose it. But I oppose taking it out, and I oppose your amendment. Oh, I could state also that there are states in the United States, many of them who have had their citizens right in the Constitution to bring initiative petition and establish in their Constitution rights for victims. Now, the citizens will initiate it. And if the Legislature does not provide then the citizen will insist.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Hall, could you respond to a question for me, please. In the constitutional amendment it says that a crime victim, or his or her guardian or representative would have the right to be informed of all criminal court proceedings. Could you tell me what informed means.

SENATOR HALL: It would mean whatever the judge determined. It was necessary information for purposes of allowing them the opportunity to those rights.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay, it's whatever the judge would determine?

SENATOR HALL: Sure.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay. So, if I'm a victim of a rape or a serious crime, I'm at work at nine o'clock in the morning, get a phone call, it's the district county attorney on the line, he says, we decided to go ahead and have sentencing in this case, or whatever the proceeding might be, at eleven o'clock, and I just wanted you to know about it. Has that person been informed then under the constitutional amendment?

SENATOR HALL: That would have to be determined by a judge, because more than likely that person wouldn't be able to get off work, they would feel that their constitutional rights hadn't been granted to them, and they would probably have to appeal