

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But here's my question because I have something else I want to ask you.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No state has adopted the language of the green copy that, you're aware of?

SENATOR PIRSCH: They have adopted portions of it and even more.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But not the green copy.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Not exactly.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There is no state we could go to and lift their constitutional provision and find what we have in the green copy. Is that correct?

SENATOR PIRSCH: That is correct. -

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Now Senator Witek handed us a copy of what purports to be, I think, an excerpt from the Arizona Constitution dealing with the same subject and there are differences. But here is the question I want to ask you. The Arizona Constitution defined victims. Why does not this constitutional provision define victims?

SENATOR PIRSCH: Because we agreed that the constitution is the framework that is fleshed out, if you will look, and again I refer to the amendment that is fleshed out by the Legislature. Constitutional rights...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I understand that but...

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...are the minimum and then the power is given to the Legislature to decide who is the victim and the various forms.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I understand all those things, Senator Pirsch, but here is the question, and I've asked and you've answered it. You did not want to define victim in the constitution as it has been defined in the constitutions of other states that have done this. Was that failure to define pursuant to an agreement or discussions you had with various people in Nebraska?