

IFP motion is not in order because the proper motion at that time is to return for purposes of striking the enacting clause, such motion would be in order. You know, I would further point out that those that are in opposition to the issue as decided still have many options strategically before them. I really don't know what good debating and arguing and, you know, fighting procedurally, particularly if you're delaying time for which you can legitimately consider LB 348 at a future date, I think...I think you're probably wasting time that could be used more effectively but don't let me...my opinion on that change your views. I would, I guess, instead of standing here rambling, trying to think of what to say, I would just once again return to the point where I would challenge this motion as not being properly in order at this point.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Withem, I will take your point under advisement and I will rule that it is not in...the the motion is not in order. The motion is definitely out of order at this point. Senator Wickersham, if you wish to, you may challenge the Chair but I think that this motion is out of order.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: I will not challenge the Chair.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Senator Hohenstein, on...

SENATOR HOHENSTEIN: I would move that LB 839E be readvanced to E & R for engrossing.

SPEAKER BAACK: You've heard the motion to advance LB 839. All those in favor say aye. Opposed no. It is advanced. We will now proceed on the agenda to LB 840E. We are on Final Reading. Members, please take your seats so we may proceed with Final Reading. Mr. Clerk, LB 840E.

CLERK: (Read LB 840E on Final Reading.)

SPEAKER BAACK: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 840 with the emergency clause attached pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See pages 2646-47 of the Legislative Journal.) 49 ayes, 0 nays.