

SENATOR BROMM: I don't mean taking from tax dollars.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That's what I didn't want to do.

SENATOR BROMM: No, I'm not suggesting that. But I'm suggesting if they wanted to add \$2 for the campaign fund, giving them an opportunity to do so.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Sure, I wouldn't object to that. I just wanted to be sure we weren't forcing anybody to pay into the fund.

SENATOR BROMM: All right, thank you. I support the Beutler amendment. We have to face funding on this thing sometime and this is about as mild a method of funding as I can think of. This is totally voluntary, totally, and we might as well start accumulating or trying to accumulate some money and this doesn't mean that those sections of the law that need some work and that we want to change after the interim study that we can't change them, but this will allow us to begin a source of funding. We'll see how it goes and I think it's an excellent idea and I would like to have seen some funds generated some how for this water treatment problem, water testing problem I should say that we have but that's not to be on this legislation I don't think. So I do support the Beutler amendment. I urge the body to give this serious consideration and adopt the amendment.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Hohenstein, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR HOHENSTEIN: Thank you, Senator Hall, members of the body, just a couple of questions. Senator Beutler...

SENATOR HALL: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR HOHENSTEIN: Would you explain to me, do you anticipate there would be \$2...up to \$2 per individual? It doesn't contemplate perhaps a joint return and I know that's a technical question, but it does to me look like there would be a maximum of \$2 per return although you may be talking about per individual. What is your understanding of how this would work?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I contemplated it as per individual.