

on.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR MOORE: Now, if Senator Hall's amendment gets adopted, I think you've still made a pretty strong statement. And arguably even in the context of what the budget recommendation is, if you adopt Senator Hall's amendment you may find a way to come back, a year from now, and say, no, we're going to keep the School for the Deaf where it is located in its present capacity, but somehow we're going to spend less dollars and make it more efficient. That may be the case, but at this point in time, with great reluctance, I rise to oppose Senator Hall's amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Hall, did you wish to be recognized for a point of order?

SENATOR HALL: Yes, if you please, Madam President. I would ask that the, if there's no objection, to withdraw the amendment. Senator Withem has one to follow.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Warner, you're up. Senator Hall can remove the amendment at any time, which is what he had asked to do.

CLERK: Madam President, the next amendment I have to the bill is by Senators Withem and Hall. (Withem-Hall amendment may be found on page 2087 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes. This is one of those types of issues that, first of all, I don't think a lot of us knew that 643 was coming up. It appears on the agenda, we need to react to it, and people are. That's appropriate when a bill does appear on the agenda. What Senator Hall and I have done, very briefly, we discussed the reference to the offending language that he was seeking to remove that the existing location shall no longer serve as the site for the school. His original amendment was going to remove that. Some people were objecting to that because they thought a message needs to be sent, very strongly, that the study that the Legislature is directing be done look at the site. This particular language was the most offensive language from most of the letters that I received that there was