

as young as or maybe younger than the 17-year-old person?

SENATOR AVERY: You bring up a good point and I think the...all I can do is assume that the intent here was, meaning adults because they have custody or control over and that language further kind of denotes that it would be an adult.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then we probably would get at it by just saying any person who does these things, if we're going to adopt this amendment at all.

SENATOR AVERY: That...I'd be amenable to that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let me ask Senator Ashford a question and he's at Senator Beutler's microphone if he can answer.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Ashford, in discussing with Senator Avery his amendment, and again I say, I don't know if ultimately we will adopt your entire amendment or not, but instead of specifying these different categories, what about saying any adult who does these things and don't specify parent, guardian...

SENATOR ASHFORD: That's fine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and so forth. Okay, now if that is done, hmm, okay, thank you. I won't ask it as a question. Let me state what I think.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: A person could create a set of circumstances which would create danger to a child should a child happen to enter those circumstances. I'm wondering if the intent of this original amendment that Senator Ashford offered is that the conduct must be directed toward a specific identifiable child at the time the act is done or if circumstances are created, something like a spider spinning a web and anyone who happens into the web would then make the person liable. Since Senator Ashford is working on something else, I just put the question out there, the issue, and I will ask him when he gets in a better position to address it. Oh, do I have any time, Madam President?