

pull together all the computer initiatives that are out there, so that we maximize the benefits of any computer enhancements and address some of these ongoing problems? I'm just using this bill to raise the issue, because I know on the Health and Human Services side we're getting very concerned about poor status of our computers is leading to all kinds of decisions we can't make because they don't have the computer capability to enact and administer certain programs. And I just thought if you could, I know you're looking at this over in appropriations. Take a couple minutes to go through that, if you don't mind.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, as you mentioned, you know, there's so many decisions we're making on the state...well, potentially making decisions on the state level to tie the millions of dollars we spend on the state, on computers, how do they make sense. And you mention the FAMIS initiative with the Governor's included in his recommendation and that the committee is considering. FAMIS and this really don't have anything to do with each other. I mean it's...what this project is is tying all 93 counties together on...on uniform commercial code, on commercial drivers license, on vehicle and title registration, on...we...we...child support enforcement in the county courts. We're tying all those things together across the state. Now how does FAMIS, how does AFIS, how does State Patrol computerization tie into that? Well, obviously they're computers, but I don't know how you're really tying them together. I mean, I mean they're not really one and the same. I think a concern that I, personally, have and others have is how do you, I mean there's a lot of initiatives on computers on the state level and the various state agencies. Are we making those decisions with one common goal in mind? And I think FAMIS, DSS's computer request, is a good example of asking the question whether you are or you aren't. And though we've been asking that question, I think, we are doing that in concert with Central Data Processing and everything else. But there will be...there...there's a lot of decisions we need to make this year and in the future. And how do you tie, how do you...how do you tie those things together. Probably the one thing that maybe we should and could come to grips on that decision. Right now CDP is strictly a vendor. An agency comes to them and says, here's what I want, and CDP can maybe have some influence as to what that decision is, but they can't say yes, or no, you can or can't have that. And there is some...some school of thought, but I'm not ready to buy into it, that you need to have first all state agencies, the computer czar that is making decisions that, yes, you can have it, no,