

April 8, 1993

LB 555, 652

got three minutes left on this issue, all I can tell you is that it is agreed to. The only objection that has been raised, per se, is Chief Skinner's and I would just disagree and say that it is not redundant and that it does not...is currently not in statute where it needs to be.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I think his point was that there is a Supreme Court decision that guarantees that this may happen. So...

SENATOR HALL: It is much easier to point to the statute and say you are allowed or you are required to give that juvenile a phone call than it is to pull out a Supreme Court decision and say, you know, law enforcement, you have to allow that juvenile a phone call.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Sure, right. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Senator Chambers, did you wish to close on your amendment? He waives closing. We will now vote on the Chambers amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment is adopted. We are now on the bill as amended. Any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Hall, do you wish to close? He waives closing. We will now vote on the advancement of LB 652. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of LB 652.

SPEAKER BAACK: LB 652 advances. We will go to LB 555.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 555 introduced by Senator Elmer. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 20, referred to Revenue, advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill. Senator Elmer.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. This bill was suggested by some county assessors relative to some provisions that were enacted in 829, which is, of course, as you remember,