

April 7, 1992

LB 291

begin. What I did is I called the people in probation, particularly in my area and said, hey listen, what would be the best way to do it administratively? This is the process they came up with. They tell me that it would work and would not be that difficult for them to do within what they already are doing now.

SENATOR HALL: Okay.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I'm taking them at their word for that. I have little experience in that area.

SENATOR HALL: Okay. Would there be any standard used for purposes of that evaluation? What...would there be specific agencies, organizations that would be used, that would be required to be used that the court would determine would meet their useful purpose, or would it be a specific alcohol assessment program that would be hand picked? How would that be handled? What would be...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: My understanding of the amendment, Senator Hall, the way it is worded now is that the defendant would pick the process of the tool that they would want to do, how they would want to do the assessment. And then it would be totally up to the judge to analyze that. My...to evaluate that. My understanding is mostly that would come through the probation and they would be handling most of that.

SENATOR HALL: Okay. So the thought would be that it would...even though it is handled by the individual, there would still be guidance on the part of the probation officer or the court in terms of which alcohol assessment program would be used because, I mean...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: That's my intent, yes.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, so we're not having, you know, somebody set up a store front just for purposes of...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...you know, running...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I concur.