

SENATOR PIRSCH: Yes, I do. I rise to oppose this amendment. Senator Hall, as I understand, you're increasing, or decreasing the eight years in this amendment to seven.

SENATOR HALL: That's correct.

SENATOR PIRSCH: And also changing on page 3 the mandatory minimum 30 days imprisonment and \$500 fine to seven days imprisonment. Is that correct?

SENATOR HALL: Yes, that is correct. The bill as it's currently drafted has six years.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Eight years.

SENATOR HALL: No.

SENATOR PIRSCH: The amendment has eight years.

SENATOR HALL: Right, but the bill in front...the amendment has not been adopted so 291 as it currently exists has six in it.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Right, right.

SENATOR HALL: So this would even be one year more than the bill has in front of us although the amendment has eight.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Right. Well I guess what I object to is the mandatory minimum for second conviction will be the same as the mandatory minimum for the first conviction. Look on page 2, you have the maximum. If we're talking about trying to stop this problem and within that six years, seven years, eight years, ten years as it is presently, what we are talking about is that on the first conviction we are changing the maximum, but the mandatory is now for first conviction seven days imprisonment. What Senator Hall is trying to do is to keep that still mandatory minimum seven days imprisonment for the second conviction. What the committee tried to do I think was to find increasing, increasing penalties and if you're going to have the first conviction seven days imprisonment, then the second conviction should remain at 30 days imprisonment and that is old language. Then for the third minimum we have 90 days. So your first conviction you get seven days. Your second conviction is 30. Your third conviction is 90 days and your fourth or subsequent conviction is five years. It seems to me that the