

CLERK: Mr. President, yes, there is. Senator Landis would move to amend.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Landis. Yeah, the call is raised.

SENATOR LANDIS: I believe, Mr. President, before I begin, Senator Lindsay is approaching the bench for the purpose of asking for division of the house. I'd like to be recognized after we deal with that issue.

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah, Senator Lindsay, do you wish to indicate your request for division?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes, Senator Warner, I...the intent was to request that it be divided actually in a couple of places. One would be the first provision that refers to "strike the original contents of LB 78 and all amendments thereto, and insert the following new section." I'd request that it be divided, that that be a separate issue to be treated, that the portion finding the establishing a task force be one portion of the division; that the third divided area be the appropriation request. It's my understanding that there may be a problem with dividing at the...at first juncture and, if that's the case, then I would not request the latter...the last division. If it's not divisible at that first juncture, I would not ask to divide at all.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Lindsay, based upon the reading of the motion to strike out and insert shall be deemed indivisible, I would rule that the question cannot be divided at that point.

SENATOR LINDSAY: I would withdraw the motion then.

SENATOR WARNER: We're now addressing then the Landis amendment. Senator Landis. (See FA361 as found on page 1881 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. You have, on your desk, a copy of this amendment and take a look at it. It has three basic parts. The first part is to strike the original contents of LB 78. I've told you I'm an opponent to the bill, I always have been and the adoption of the Lindsay-Landis amendment did nothing to assuage the fact that I think LB 78 is bad policy on its face. That's why the