

SENATOR PETERSON: Okay, let's roll it.

SPEAKER BAACK: Okay. Thank you, Senator Peterson. A roll call vote has been requested. Please keep your conversations down so the Clerk may hear your responses. Mr. Clerk, call the roll.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 1397-98 of the Legislative Journal.) 14 ayes, 24 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: The call is raised. We will now...there are no further amendments so we will go to the advancement of the bill and the speaker is Senator Haberman to speak to the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, I have been here long enough that I can look around the body and see that this bill is going to pass. However, I am still going to speak on it and I oppose it. I opposed it back in 1987, 1985, '86. It is big brother, it is big government sticking their nose into private business. When we put this on the ballot and the citizens of the State of Nebraska voted, they did not want a seat belt law. The citizens of Nebraska voted it out. However, it seems as though that didn't go down too good with some folks in the state, and they said, by golly, we are going to try it again. We are going to show the people of Nebraska that we don't care whether they want it or not, we are going to take it and stick it down their throat. I don't think that big government should tell citizens in this case what they should or shouldn't do. Having motorcycle people wear helmets has nothing to do with citizens wearing seat belts. If they were serious about seat belts, they'd have you wear them in the back also. But it is all right to get killed in the back because you don't have a seat belt on but it is not all right to get killed in the front because you have to wear a seat belt. Now if that makes sense, somebody please explain it to me because the manufacturer has to put seat belts in a vehicle. They are saying they don't have to put them in buses, therefore, the cost would be so great we'd have to have an interim study, so we shouldn't put them in buses. But they are already coming in vehicles but the people in the back don't have to wear them. But it is all right to wear them in the front seat, that is what the government says, but you don't have to wear them in the back seat. Well, that doesn't add up. Now you were passed out a sheet of paper that showed unknown, not used, not used, not used, not used, not used, not used. How many of those were people who were