

February 12, 1992 LB 946

SENATOR COORDSEN: I have no objection to asking for an Attorney General's Opinion, certainly.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Elmer, you're next.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Coordsen, just a brief question. And in listening to the debate and the questions thus far it would seem I would assume that this bill is meant to clarify how to...clarify the filing requirements and to satisfy the Constitution for those people that run and file during the year that a redistricting takes place.

SENATOR COORDSEN: That's correct.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, sir.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Elmer. Senator Peterson.

SENATOR PETERSON: Mr. President and members, I'd like to ask Senator Coordsen a question.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Coordsen, would you respond, please.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Sure.

SENATOR PETERSON: What you're saying is if...and I think the court is going to overturn this. I'm praying every day they do, won't need it at all. But what you're saying is if somebody has to live in the new formed district for a year, right?

SENATOR COORDSEN: That's what the Constitution says.

SENATOR PETERSON: So anybody living in those new formed districts, if they've not lived there a year, can't run, irregardless, even if this bill passes, if they move in now.

SENATOR COORDSEN: They would...that would have to be decided, I would assume, Senator Peterson, by a court test of that. Although there has been an assumption that if the, and this is a fairly recent conversation because this bill has generated a lot of opinion, that if the bill went into effect now the district would be created, without question. And then anyone who, and I will quote again, has resided within the district from which he