

two times to look at it if the look is necessary. If Senator Landis is correct and I suspect he probably is, that this bill coming out of committee will be no problems, the bill will move very, very quickly and I think that's the best for at least the body proceduralwise to do. I offer it as simply an option.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. I'll now recognize senators wishing to address this motion. Senator Crosby. Senator Landis. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Oh, thank you. I oppose the bracket motion and I hope you will as well. I originally thought that David had proposed the idea of bracketing on Select File and that's what I was agreeing to and I'm grateful that we had a chance to talk and work out what that difference was. Remember, this bill has had a public hearing. Remember, this bill has been on the chute for a long time and it has had two General File debates. We don't need necessarily to wait any longer for a bracket motion for a bill that has been around for this long. We all know what its provisions are. The separate motion that David is concerned about is what happens if there is a bill that comes out of the Banking, Commerce, and Insurance Committee and there is a motion to put it into LB 470? Well the body will have a chance to vote on that amendment and if it fails, then that bill will be on General File and we can do the normal process. We don't need a bracket motion to save the opportunity of the debate for whether we should have a purely perfect procedural set of debates on these issues. You don't need to bracket to ensure that. I would suggest to you that the right of way and how much time we're going to spend on pieces of legislation in a session in which we're going to be wrapped up with personal property tax, supposedly a rural-urban fight coming up, all those kinds of things, I got to tell you, that's true, structurally, I'm trying to get my concern here ahead of the pack and I think I've been a little more than candid by our usual standards in admitting that up front. All I'm saying is that I think that you'll have the opportunity to make that argument in a timely fashion if you're not comfortable with the provisions of what the Banking Committee reports out. You don't need the bracket motion on this bill at this time. It has not been the case of this bill has not been debated fully, it has been debated way more than most bills, it has been around. What you're not being asked to do is advance a bill that you haven't seen, heard about, talked about, known about or been lobbied about or hasn't had a public hearing. This bill has had all of those things in spades. Let