

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Warner, just a question or two, if I may.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Warner, would you respond, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: With regard to the portion that deals with cities of the primary class, Lincoln, it deals with all acquisitions and if...and what you're saying, if I understand it correctly, is that under city ordinances there are already hearing provisions?

SENATOR WARNER: Under the city ordinances, there is the requirement for...because it's...it's done by ordinance.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR WARNER: So the requirements of the hearing for public ordinances would apply to this, just as any other ordinance. And it's a duplicative public hearing requirement with different dates.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. There is no transaction that the city can...can complete that would be covered by subparagraph 5 without the enactment of an ordinance, is that correct?

SENATOR WARNER: That is my understanding.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Then with regard to the second part, the amendment to 25-2505, now that deals with all state agencies? I guess my question is, are we eliminating the hearing on a buyer, willing seller proposition for all state agencies?

SENATOR WARNER: I believe that's probably true. Wait a minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Is...if I...I'm not sure that I understood what you said before in the context of this particular section of the bill. Are you saying that there are...that it's also duplicative with regard to this section, that is that there are public hearing requirements whenever a state agency acquires private property, other than what is required by this particular section? I guess the bottom line, the reason I'm asking is