

January 14, 1992

that I do, I would not accept it. It would be demeaning. It would be degrading. It would be totally unreasonable. But because I impose those requirements on myself because of the way I perceive the job that I do, it's just routine for me. So when there are those long discussions on Final Reading I think it's futile to put a rule on the books such as this one when, as Senator Lynch pointed out and the existing rule allows, a senator can obtain excuse from whoever is presiding. Rather than continue to go through that charade, it would be better to leave the rule as we have it. I will, during those discussions, be excused. Maybe others feel the way I do...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and maybe they don't. But I want to put it clearly on the record that my work does not consist only in being in this Chamber. As a matter of fact, if that's all that I had to do, my job would be made immeasurably simpler, immeasurably easier. Unfortunately, Senator Lamb, I have been dubbed Obi Wan Kenobi because often I am people's only hope. It's a terrible and awesome burden to bear but, having come in the kitchen where there is that kind of heat, you and I will choose to stay here...well, no, you're going to get out, I'm going to stay here but a part of the kitchen for me is not going to be the Chamber during Final Reading when they have those long discussions.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I supported this rule change at the Rules Committee meeting. I am on the Rules Committee. And it does represent a compromise but I think it helps to understand what's going on. If you understand that with the...with the existing rule, with the existing rule there was a problem of interpretation and the Clerk was unclear, the Rules Committee was unclear, everybody was unclear as to what was intended with regard to what, primarily, what senators could and could not do, where they could and could not go when amendments and pending motions were up on bills on Final Reading. So what this amendment offers to do is to clarify that. Now, if you vote for the amendment, you're voting for exactly what the new wording says. If you reject this amendment and leave the existing bill (sic) in place, then I think we should be clear that the interpretation that is then being placed upon it, as I would understand it, and