

support of the Warner amendment. Hope we could adopt it. It would help, I think, this resolution.

**PRESIDENT MOUL:** Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Wehrbein, followed by Senators Schellpeper and Moore.

**SENATOR WEHRBEIN:** Yes, Madam President, members, I'm going to oppose this again. I understand much has been said and I can appreciate the tenor of what they're saying in terms of an...or reviewing this every decade. My only problem with it is, is you set up shop and I guess it has to be basically from a business standpoint or an agricultural standpoint. It just seems to me, commit a few hundred thousand dollars or several million dollars to a business in Nebraska whether it's in food processing or in a feedlot or whatever, and then have it be subject to I suppose the whims of government in this case. Not to say that it can be done anytime, I realize that's a risk of doing business in Nebraska and I suspect many don't understand that from a business standpoint, the risk of doing business in any place is that there will be an additional tax burden placed on the operation. But it seems to me by doing this every ten years from the same viewpoint that it may make good public policy, it also makes a problem in the area of consistency and dependability and stability in the climate of the business climate in a particular state, and that's what bothers me. Every ten years we're going to have a fight about whether these ought to stay or not. Now if there's good reason or good cause to put something back on or add something, and I guess we may be doing that via the 3-R Committee, I can understand that that's a risk that business takes. But by raising this flag every ten years it is going to definitely raise this issue every ten years and I have to admit if it was me making an investment in the year 2000 or the year 1999 in Nebraska, you'd have to try to assess the climate, the business climate in Nebraska very, very carefully before you'd commit to it because you may run the risk of being heavily taxed. The other thing is that's been said on this floor of the Legislature is that you can't trust the Legislature and that's true. As turnover occurs in the Legislature we may go from an anti-business to a pro-business to an anti-business or whatever. I wouldn't predict how it would term, but it could be a very good opportunity to bore in on some particular segments and I guess frankly I worry about that from an agricultural standpoint as we become more and more of a lesser influence across the state and that well will occur