

Chambers, Senator Byars. Senator Cudaback, would you please check in. Senator Robak. Looking for Senator Chambers, Pirsch, Byars, and Abboud. We are now looking for Senator Chambers. Senator Byars, would you check in, please. All members are now present and a roll call vote has been requested. We are voting on the Conway amendment to LB 511. Mr. Clerk, call the roll.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 2179-80 of the Legislative Journal.) 25 ayes, 14 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment is adopted. The call is raised. Next item on the bill, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: Is there discussion on the advancement of LB 511? Senator Morrissey.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. I don't want to belabor the point. I am curious how Senator Lamb stands now. I know where I stand, as I said. I think if we are going to do it, we need to do it in the correct method, bring the bill next year to address it on a statewide basis, statewide input, and have a chance to more thoroughly think out some of the amendments that were offered today, which one, of course, is mine which I was...it was a real close vote, but it simply asked for a, if you are going to designate possibly through more than one county, that you have a plan so that all those counties can address it in the same manner, in the same manner, in a like manner. Very simple and very straightforward, but it is something like that, I think, that we possibly need to take more thought and more consideration than we did just this afternoon in the hour and a half, two hours that we have addressed this bill. I would ask you to consider your motives. If, indeed, we want to truly do a comprehensive state scenic river bill, let's wait and let's look at doing it next year. I'd urge you to defeat LB 511.

SPEAKER BAACK: Any other discussion on the advancement of LB 511? Seeing none, Senator Lamb, do you wish to close?

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I don't know whether I am supportive or in opposition to the bill at this point. It takes all the local control out of it. That means that the state is going to work its will through the