

appraisers who will have to comply probably goes down and some of the people that you now have may qualify under the exemptions. But, in answer to your question, no, you may look to the amendment that will be offered by Senator Beutler perhaps for some relief.

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Landis, a follow up. Do we have any estimate as to the number of appraisers who are now doing this work compared to the number that would be qualified if the bill passes? I'm trying to get a handle on exactly how big a problem that we may have in some of those sparsely settled areas of the state.

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Lamb, the committee was not given a list of or a number of existing appraisers as to whether they would qualify with respect to the classification system inherent in the bill. I would suggest that you direct your question to Senator Beutler, perhaps he has additional information.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lamb. Senator Beutler, did you wish to discuss the committee amendments?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I wish to respond to Senator Lamb. Those are questions that we will answer in the course of the matter, but since he brought up the question I think we should respond as quickly as we can and as best we can. Senator Lamb, there is a provision...first of all, I would point out and ask everybody to look at the chart on their desk which sets out the three classifications of appraisers that there will be when and if this particular bill is passed. And, under that classification system, Senator Lamb, with regard to the highest classification, the certified appraisers, there is no provision for the alleviation of federal requirements as far as qualifying those types of appraisers are concerned. But, under the middle category, the licensees, there is a provision and apparently there is some flexibility with regard to the experience requirement and the amendment that will be forthcoming right after the committee amendments would say that, instead of having 2,000 hours of experience right off the bat, that could be reduced to 1,000 hours with a year's extension to the 2,000 hours. So there is some alleviation there. However, it's not going to solve your problem completely but this is the best we can do under the federal advisory opinions and explicit