

February 21, 1991 LB 20, 32

explanation. I guess I'm just a bit concerned still about the concept of dual offices but I understand in the rural areas where that would be a particular problem and so I know Senator Chambers wants to make some comments so I'll keep the motion up there for now and perhaps some discussion is in order on this whole issue.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Wesely. I'll now recognize Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Madam Chair. Senator Coordsen, this bill does not do with dual office holding, this bill deals with filing for more than one office. Do you agree?

SENATOR COORDSEN: I would agree with you. As I said, I haven't looked at it since General File but I'm sure that that's what it does, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Coordsen, would you be willing to pass over it so that I can talk to you without us taking the time here on the mike, since you haven't had a chance to look at it?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I'll come right back and see...okay.

SENATOR COORDSEN: If we can pass over it for now, maybe pick it up at the end of Final Reading this morning, if we can...okay?

PRESIDENT MOUL: Mr. Speaker. Yes, there has been a request to pass over this LB 20E at this time and take it up again at the end of Final Reading. We will do so. We will now proceed with LB 32. I will remind the Senators that we are in Final Reading and I request that they be seated. We'll proceed with LB 32.

CLERK: (Read LB 32 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT MOUL: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 32 pass? All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See pages 752-53 of the Legislative Journal.) 39 ayes, 5 nays, 4 present and not voting, 1 excused