

the event that LB 1059 passed. Is that right or am I phrasing the question correct?

SENATOR WITHEM: The amendment, as I understood it, and I didn't handle the amendment on the floor, I think it was on Senator Baack's bill...

SENATOR HANNIBAL: That's correct.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...but, as I understood it, LB 1059, in its current form, could be read to repeal the continuation of the currently appropriated state aid payments and the amendment onto LB 843 was an attempt to clarify that that wasn't the intent of it.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Okay, and I appreciate that answer and the question that I had posed to me was what would happen if LB 1059 did pass and LB 843 did not pass, would there at least be a question as to whether state aid payments for the last three months of this fiscal year whether they could be paid out or not because 1059 does repeal the state aid formula, as we have it? Would there at least be a question on that?

SENATOR WITHEM: There would be a question that would be open to debate, yes.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Then my question is, why are we not amending LB 1059 with this same kind of amendment, as opposed to using LB 843?

SENATOR WITHEM: Because LB 1059 is on Final Reading and LB 843 deals with the same subject matter and it was on Select File.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Okay, and I appreciate your forthrightness there. One other question that I have on LB 1059 is because maybe they won't have a chance to speak on 1059 if the suspension motion does pass, is that we had it seems amendment on Select File that changed how we were going to formulate the state income tax distribution. Again, I was not paying too much...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: ...attention, but did we not have an amendment that changed how we come up with the state income tax