

January 4, 1990

of it has been disposed of, that will be what we do. Now, personally, I don't care which way we go as long as we maintain a consistent rationale. If this is voted down, then I think we ought to come back, somebody ought to propose a rule that clarifies that you cannot withdraw portions...remaining portions of a divided motion after one part has been disposed of. And if you vote this down, I probably will be trying to whip up something along that line to say that you can't, because I think it's important that we get a clarification of the rules one way or the other. If you want to allow Senator Lindsay to do what he did last session, which you said at the end of the session he should be able to do, then you ought to vote in favor of this particular motion. If you think that what you did early in the session last year to not allow the withdrawal of divided portions of an amendment, then you ought to vote against this and then be consistent later on when I put up a rule change to clarify that you can't do that.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Bernard-Stevens, please.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. President. There will be an amendment that will be before the body here shortly on this particular one. And, in essence, Senator Withem did an adequate job, in fact, an admirable and very adequate job of explaining the issue as they happened last year. What we had certainly was two different interpretations of the rules. When I went...again, was on the Rules Committee, I was trying to get...and I know Senator Withem was, a clarification of what is the body going to do in upcoming sessions. The problem with the rule that we have now is the body has really two choices. We're going to allow the person to...if we make a change, for example, on a divided question, we're going to allow the person to withdraw all the rest of the divisible part of the question because maybe since the first one didn't go and it's the most important one, I now want to withdraw the rest. We'll have that choice or status quo the way it is now, which is confusing. The amendment that I will be putting before the body will be to give you a choice and I don't really care which way the body goes but I think you need to at least have a choice. The amendment will basically say that once a question has been divided and once an action has been...has taken place on any one portion of the question that was divided, then the senator or the introducer of that amendment that has been divided cannot withdraw the rest of the divided question, because we have already, in essence, acted on part of that amendment. At least it will give you a choice.