

that expenditure, bring the money back in and send the money back out again with another loan to another public building. And it seems as though again you save your money very quickly, you get back your investment rather quickly and I just don't see that in this disbursement plan. So I'm going to rise in objection to the disbursement plan and yet, at the same time, I'm not sure whether I should oppose this resolution because it does make suggestions for improvement. But, nevertheless, I would hope that Senator Conway and this Legislature would have the option to ask further questions on it whether this is adopted or not.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do. Those in favor of ceasing debate, please vote aye. opposed nay. Shall debate cease? Record, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, to cease debate.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Debate ceases. Senator Warner, would you care to close.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I just would make a couple of comments relative to the 309 discussion. There was in the Governor's budget \$5 million in her proposal for 309, 2 million of which was indicated for asbestos removal and 3 million for the...applied toward deferred maintenance. I believe, in the preliminary committee report, there is 6 million in without a designation at this time as to the purpose. I only mention that to indicate that there is funding continuing. The...I think I may also indicate on the native American count, what was used, as was indicated at the hearing, was the census data. It was a later census information that was provided that also had a provision where people, during the process of the census, could indicate their ethnic background, their forebears, and which was voluntarily done by people who...by the public as they were doing the census, and then you had a higher count from that of native Americans but as to what percent or portion of their forebears were native American as opposed to other backgrounds was not known. In any event, the other more important reason for that discussion of the committee was the fact that it was presumed and it was, in fact, true of some of the distribution