

as the Game and Parks people having a breathalyzer and that, Game and Parks people are almost constantly always in contact with a local sheriff or the State Patrol or people of that nature. We have no intention of them all having breathalyzers, going through all that procedure, but simply being able to identify that questionable situation, identifying and taking that person to a local courthouse, as most sheriffs or highway patrol people do now, take them into that more refined operation where you have got a breathalyzer or urinalysis equipment on board and test them out there. There is no intention for them to have their own breathalyzing systems and do their own testing, and so forth. I conducted a drunken driving, I don't want to say entrapment, a period where the State Patrol, I had a roadblock and we went through a procedure one night. Every one of the individuals who after a field test question was taken to the county courthouse where they went through more formalized testing. To my understanding, that is really the formal technique, the way that it is typically done. That is what we would assume would happen on this, if we had someone particularly that was identified after an accident or was certainly behaving in a recognizable manner as probably being intoxicated, that the Game and Park person could certainly stop that person, but at that point, take it into those people who are specially trained and have gone through this process and that like, rather than try to perform all this out in the back end of a boat somewhere. We don't expect that to be the case, but to take it through that formal process. So this bill really probably does more to the codification of the liquor standards and the enforcement standards in the name of operating a boat on our waterways than it does change the concept of what we had, that is already on board the way it is. So with that, I would offer this bill and ask you to advance it.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. The question is the advancement of the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of LB 195.

PRESIDENT: LB 195 advances. LB 92.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 92 is a bill introduced by Senator Landis. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 5, Mr. President, of this year, referred to the Banking, Commerce,