

February 5, 1988

LB 828

under these provisions. It has to be \$500 or less in these provisions. So, with that, I would be happy to answer any questions if there are on the committee amendments, if there are not I would just urge their adoption. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Coordsen on the committee amendments.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Only to urge their adoption, Mr. President. What they do, as Senator Baack indicated, is make the bill, when it is put into statute, a little bit more readily understandable by those that have to abide by it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Lamb, please.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, question of Senator Baack, please.

PRESIDENT: Would you respond, Senator Baack?

SENATOR BAACK: Certainly.

SENATOR LAMB: I have a question about the term "unusable property". It seems to me that that is a...if it is unusable nobody is going to buy it, in the first place. Who is going to define unusable? If it's got to be unusable, it seems to me that is going to preclude any sales, because theoretically, at least, every piece that would be sold would be usable by somebody.

SENATOR BAACK: Well, that terminology was put in there because in case...to give you an example, if a county happened to buy some new item that they thought they were going to need, and then they found later on that they had no need for that item and it was unusable as far as they were concerned, then they would still be allowed to sell that because it would not necessarily be obsolete, but it would be unusable as far as they were concerned, then they would be allowed to sell that item.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, okay. I'm probably...it is probably nit picking, but just to say unusable, it doesn't say unusable by the county. It says unusable. So, to me, that could, if it was strictly interpreted, mean that probably nothing could be sold because it certainly might be usable to someone.

SENATOR BAACK: Well, I would assume that that is true that they