

will be flooded to such an extent that they cannot do their work, that other cases will have to be pushed aside and so forth. To do away with those arguments, the District Judges Association, and these cases would go to district court, sent this letter to indicate that they have no opposition to the bill and I guess it makes it clear that they don't feel that they will be overworked or flooded. So I'm asking that you once again advance this bill. I hope to get it passed and maybe there won't be as much tension between me and the present governor as there was me and the former governor and the bill may be allowed to go through the ordinary procedure, not only through our passing it, but to a signature by the governor. If any of you have any questions, I'll be glad to answer them.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion on 673, Senator Hall. Senator Hall waives. Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'm going to raise some questions here, Senator Chambers, and if you'll help me or debate with me...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers, would you respond.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Chambers, is there an internal procedure for appeal now?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Now, under the Administrative Procedures Act, which is in force now as I understand it, does that allow prisoners to appeal to the district court?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It was thought that it did, but the Supreme Court, in a divided opinion, said it does not.

SENATOR HABERMAN: All right. And as it stands now, is it true that the Legislature has to take action to authorize or to change the procedure that we now have?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: All right, now will you...reading some of the information in the book on the fiscal note, not talking about