

SENATOR HARTNETT: I think we're getting a negotiation...the questions and so forth. I think the damages...the difference between the value of the total land before and after taking, and I think what we are trying to do with this bill is to not require the City of Omaha, in this particular case, is to take all of Pete's parking lot and Pete's store but simply to provide them an access because that's what they had as an example before this. So it's simply try to give them the same access that they will have the same business as before.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, Senator Landis, let's say that ever with this deal that is being arranged here with the substitute, if, through that substitution, there does develop damage to the one who originally was the loser, I meant the one who lost access...

SENATOR LANDIS: Uh-huh.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...let me mention that as person number one, person number two would be the one who is going to have a little strip taken to substitute.

SENATOR LANDIS: Let me suggest we change the numbers. The person who is getting their land completely condemned is one, the secondary property holder who we now want to give the access to is two, and the person who is going to give up what proves to be the replacement property, who is going to lose that property to create the access road, let's make them number three. That's the way it appears so far.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I just want to deal with the one...

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...who is totally condemned...

SENATOR LANDIS: Right, because...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because that can be done anyway.

SENATOR LANDIS: Right.