

January 6, 1988

up-front cloture motion. What it is quite simply, for those of you that were around in 1981 during that special session that year, it was a cloture motion that this body at that time passed and had in place for that special session. Later on, well, later on, the next year in '82 a very similar cloture motion was introduced and narrowly defeated. I think it's time that we debate this issue once again. I want to briefly explain what this cloture rule would actually do. What it says is after a bill has been debated on one stage for eight hours, after eight hours of debate then the principal introducer or the committee chairman, if it is a committee bill, could make a motion that a vote be taken, debate cease, a vote be taken and the bill be voted on up or down. It would take two-thirds of the voting body to make this happen, two-thirds or 33 votes to make this happen. So, if a bill had been debated eight hours, 33 members of this body agree that the time has come to vote on that bill, the principal could stand up and invoke this rule and we could take a vote on it then. Now, if for some reason fell a few short, few votes short of that two-thirds that were necessary, that introducer after another hour had elapsed of debate could try it again. Now some say that, let's don't debate this cloture rule now, let's don't deal with it now. Well, as you're already aware of, Senator Chambers passed out 19 amendments to this rule I have introduced, 19 amendments. Now the first four are probably fairly legitimate. When they are legitimate amendments, I mean that they are dealing with the texture of the rule I have introduced. Then you go on to number five and five through nine is just simply changing numbers, simply changing numbers. I'm going to call that a frivolous amendment, trying to...it's a filibuster is all it is, that is what he is trying to do. And so what we have here once again, like Senator Chambers did last year on LB 775, like he and others, Ernie is not the only one guilty of this, if we remember Senator Vard Johnson did somewhat similar to that on Senator Lamb's house moving amendment on the last day that we could move bills last year. Vard kind of got in the game too. But the thing about it is, here is a perfect example of why we need this. Senator Chambers is going to deny 48 of us to have a vote on a cloture rule. Just by himself he is going to keep us from doing it. Now, if you can, remember back to the pain and the agony a lot of us felt over 775 when we were bidding hell through the wringer on amendments on furs, on "yachets" or yachts, whatever you want to call them, a lot of entertaining, interesting amendments. I happened to enjoy it. Senator Johans, and she said she wasn't going to run again, said she didn't enjoy it and