

do is to not ask, because we don't ask local people or officials much around here, we tell them, I intend to tell our local assessor to provide for me, by legislative district, the average income of the property of the homeowners and the average percentage of real value that they are paying taxes on. I think you will discover that out west, in Douglas County, that small exemption that you might offer, that first \$35,000 of value for the poor people, mostly poor people that might live in Ernie's, and Bernice's, and mine, and Marge's, and Hall's, and some others districts, is soon overcome by the exemption that exists because we don't value properties as we should in the more affluent areas by design, or by incompetence, or whatever, I'm not sure. I think we should understand that before we suggest raising \$5 million on the backs of the poor people with 288. And I would suggest we indefinitely postpone it, not...we vote against the reconsideration motion.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hannibal, a little over two minutes.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Mr. Speaker, thank you, and thank you, Senator Remmers, for allowing me the time. Two points, I think I do support the reconsideration. Two points to consider as far as I'm concerned is that however right or wrong Senator Lynch might be, it has nothing to do with this issue. We're talking strictly about who is going to pay, whether it's the county, the same county board that is doing the assessing, and the schools and the cities, or the state pays it all. Nothing in this bill is going to affect the homeowner one way or another, whether it is killed or alive. Nothing is going to affect that. Number two, and I think just as importantly, is that we have a bill, 784, that is what we call a supplemental appropriations bill that has about \$1,600,000, \$1,500,000 in it to state aid to counties, to cities, NRDs and the schools. Now we're going to give it up right here, it's going to make it that much more difficult for us to pass that same kind of thing. If this bill comes back, it is coming back because there are several people that would like to try to make it a 95-5 match. That is about \$1.6 million, it's about, coincidentally but not unimportantly, the same amount of dollars towards state aid to subdivisions of government that is in 784.

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: I hope that you will reconsider this. Hope that we can put the 95-5 on. The homeowner, the property owner is not affected in any way.