

perspective and try to be fair to everybody.

**SPEAKER BARRETT:** Thank you. On the motion to indefinitely postpone, Senator Hall, followed by Senators Lamb and Hartnett.

**SENATOR HALL:** Mr. President and members, I rise again in opposition to postpone, to indefinitely postponing LB 288A. Senator Higgins I take great exception with your comments with regard to what I intend or do not intend to do. Frankly I don't like being called a liar, Marge, and I resent it because I have no intention of reconsidering LB 288, I have no intention of voting to indefinitely postpone this bill. My only intentions are those that I stated previously and that would be to put what language was in 288, that dealt with the specifics with regard to making the homestead exemption system a better system, saving the state a quarter of a million to half a million dollars, not affecting the counties, not affecting the homeowners, only making the system a better system, getting some of the fraud that all of us knows is out the e, just because we have not implemented the things that the technical changes that would have been in LB 288 had it stayed alive. So I do not intend to try anything and I take great exception to your comments. I think they are totally out of order. Thank you, Mr. President.

**SPEAKER BARRETT:** Thank you. Senator Lamb. Senator Lamb, on the motion to indefinitely postpone. Senator Hartnett.

**SENATOR HARTNETT:** Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I rise to oppose the motion by Senator Pappas to indefinitely postpone it because I think what we have really done when we voted for 288, we simply have added I think \$5 million, I think Senator Warner has figures to our state budget. We have kind of passed it back to the state and that is where it should be. I think that with Marge, Senator Higgins lives in Douglas County and they simply...to get business they would give personal property exemption to airplanes and mainline computers. They are really not that concerned about money, in my estimation. So I think where do we take, which pocket do we take the money out of? I think that maybe the counties, I think that maybe Senator Hannibal talked about the issue that maybe the counties and the local subdivision should have a role in this because they will do a better job of monitoring of it, if they can simply pass it on to the state. And have they done the best job? In listening to some of the testimony in the Revenue Committee I don't think that they have. So I would simply urge you to vote against this reconsideration or this indefinitely postponement. Thank you.