

happening, that is what happening. So, I'll tell you what I'll do, if we adopt this amendment, which I think has a good chance of being adopted, I'll offer another amendment which will be a compromise. Reinstate the language in the original bill and we'll take this one off, all in one fell swoop. I ask that the amendment be adopted.

PRESIDENT: Senator Goodrich, did you wish to, your name is up next. Did you wish to talk on this amendment?

SENATOR GOODRICH: Not this amendment, no.

PRESIDENT: All right. Senator Moore, did you wish to speak on this amendment?

SENATOR MOORE: No.

PRESIDENT: All right. Senator Haberman, on this amendment? Senator Marsh, on this amendment?

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, we have mixed opportunities in front of us, or mixed problems, don't we. Senator Lamb has stated clearly that he is opposed to LB 444, and that was his purpose in trying to bring the original amendment. Some of the members of this Legislature would like to have all of us treated alike, and there is value in that. Had this gone through a public hearing I might be one in support of Senator Lamb and Senator Chambers' amendment. But, under the current circumstances, I cannot support this amendment for I feel that a major amendment, added primarily as a problem-maker, is not the desired end. I try to be straightforward with my votes. And my strong feeling is adding this kind of an amendment, without the public hearing on a controversial issue, is not the way I want to go. I will oppose this amendment. I do intend to support LB 444, just so this body knows where I am.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Vard Johnson, did you wish to speak about this amendment?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think Senator Marsh is correct in stating that the offering of an amendment by a person opposed to a bill, designed not so much to enhance the bill but to simply say that if you folk are determined to push this down my neck then I am going to push something back down your neck, is not a good policy, is not a good policy. But, on the other hand, what is good policy in the