

February 10, 1987

LB 146A, 189, 229A, 251, 354, 441, 487
491, 523A

difficult later.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. I think the request to expedite is very much in order. Any objections? It shall be. Anything for the record, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Yes, sir, there is. Mr. President, a notice of hearing from the Government Committee for February 18, 19, and 20, signed by Senator Rupp. Government Committee would report LB 189 to General File with amendments; 251, General File with amendments; 354, 487, 491, all to General File with amendments attached. Mr. President, those are all signed by Senator Rupp. (See pages 580-81 of the Legislative Journal.)

New A bills, LB 523A, 146A, and 229A. (All read for the first time by title. See pages 581-82 of the Legislative Journal.) That is all that I have, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, sir. As previously announced LB 292 will be passed over today. LB 78 will be passed over today. The Chair would now recognize Mr. Clerk for the purpose of LB 441.

CLERK: Mr. President, 441 was a bill introduced by Senators Lowell Johnson, Hartnett, Remmers, Morehead, Peterson, Rupp, and Wehling. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 21, referred to the Revenue Committee for hearing, advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lowell Johnson, please, for the introduction of LB 441.

SENATOR L. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, LB 441 was reported from the committee with no objection and the proponents included Mr. Jack Sutton of Fremont, the Administrator of the City of Fremont, and Mr. Bill Kruger of the Fremont Firefighters Local who testified in favor of the bill. There was no one in opposition to the bill. LB 441 simply clarifies a statutory inconsistency between Sections 19-1309 and 16-702 involving the inclusion or the exclusion of fire and police pension funding under the lid of 87 1/2 cents per \$100 actual valuation for the first class cities. Now, LB 441 would clarify the intent of this language by amending Section 16-702 which applies only to first class cities to provide the same language that appears now in Section 19-1309, a statute which authorizes an all-purpose levy for not only first class cities