

reasons, I will be voting against the bracket proposal. I'm sorry Senator Hall has not had the advantage of sitting on the Appropriations Committee, but when you know all of the facts, which includes the lead time, the fact that it is in the Governor's budget, the fact that it is taken into consideration in all of its dimensions, you will understand why I cannot support the bracket motion.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Senator Marsh. Senator Warner, followed by Senator Lamb.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I appreciate that Senator Hall made this motion because if I heard Senator Hall correctly I think he is talking about two things, one of which happens to be LB 245. But what I really heard him talk about was what I relate to very readily, and that is how do you sort out the priority of spending as we go along in the session. There is no doubt in my mind, because I already have done it and I know others have, that we will be standing and saying, no, we cannot do this because this is a new program that we shouldn't start until we fund what we have, that we do not know if we can handle this. One of the senators made a very good explanation the other day, I recall, about what happens to a bill when it gets to Final Reading if it has a spending provision in it. You should keep in mind that we are dealing with two different things. Now, what is unique about LB 245? Number one is it affects the '86-87 budget, this year. That is why the E clause is in the bill, was as it was drafted because there is no way to effect an appropriation for '86-87 without an E clause, otherwise it wouldn't take effect until after the fiscal year had ended. Secondly, it is a piece of equipment that the state owns that was broken down, it needs to be replaced. It has been pointed out the reason it is in early is nothing other than a simple matter it takes six to eight months of lead time from the time the contract is let and the equipment can begin to be replaced. So, the earlier it is done, the sooner it can be done. The arguments you would use on 245, to hold it up, could also be made on the rest of the deficiency bill that will be coming up. In fact there will be two deficiency bills that will be coming up this year in addition to this one. The next one deals with such things as the fact that we have to...that it is proposed that a part of the corrections facility would be utilizing a facility, a building at the Hastings Regional Center to take care of some overcrowding problems that are immediate. Part of that deficit bill is \$1,900,000 for secondary sewage treatment match, because of the