

January 9, 1986

not to solve the problem. Before you do something I would suggest you at least consider a few facts which the newspapers, and usually those supporting bill limits, choose to ignore and what you do on bill limits is kind of irrelevant because if you really want to "solve" the problem you keep talking about, the solution is different than bill limits. But let's talk about bills and some unique characteristics about Nebraska. If you put a bill limit, it presumes that all bills are created equal. That's true in a lot of other states. It's not true in Nebraska. It's not true in Nebraska because we have a provision in our Constitution, unlike the federal government, unlike most states, unlike Iowa for example, that says every single subject has to have a separate bill. You can't have more than one subject in one bill. So if you want to change a comma that was erroneously made in a bill, if you want to simply update a statute with something that's completely noncontroversial and technical, you have to have a separate bill. The best evidence of this is to look at the revisor's bill. I don't know whether 20, 30, 40 introduced at the beginning of this session, about 50 usually at the beginning of a new year, those are simply bills, each one requiring a separate bill for what that subject. In another state you'd have one bill for all of those. The same way is true of committees. Committees may have jurisdiction over public health, welfare and they have half a dozen little things that need to be done and maybe half a dozen major things. Normally, in another state you might use one or two bills. In Nebraska you have to use a dozen. That doesn't mean they take a lot more time. It doesn't mean they aren't processed just as efficiently. Now, let's talk about the actual experience of bill limitations, and I wish you'd take this into consideration. We tried bill limitations several times. The most recent time we tried bill limitations I think was when the new crop of senators, oh, six, eight years ago, Senator Beutler and his group came in. We tried them and guess what resulted? And you can go back and check the records. We had the most bills ever introduced and so finally we abandoned bill limitations here a few years ago. What happened? The bill number of introductions actually went down and if you will go back and check you will find that we had the lowest number of bills introduced in any legislature in the United States of America. My point is, unless you're willing to deal with the substance rather than the form, putting artificial limits solves nothing. Now, Senator Carpenter used to make a point back when I remember