

don't put the wording in it, then the only one you can arrest is the driver and he hasn't got no container. But my feeling is that if there is open containers in the back seat and they are drinking in the back seat, then they should be subject to arrest because it is against the law to drink and drive on the public highway. And that means everybody in the vehicle, not only the driver. And the only way we can uphold this law is to have them arrest them if they have got open containers, because it is almost impossible to catch them drinking. I know that many times the patrol catches them and they will dump the beer. Some of them will put it in their purse to get rid of it and they will turn the purse upside down and the beer will run out. These are things that happen. But they can't do nothing about it. They can't do a thing about it because they have to catch them drinking. They took it to court many times and, well, was they drinking? And he has to say, well, I didn't see them drink. That's it. So that is the reason for the open container.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay, the question is the adoption of the Sieck amendment to the committee amendments. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 14 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Sieck's amendment to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The Sieck amendment is adopted to the committee amendments. Do you have any other amendments to the committee amendments?

CLERK: Nothing further to the committee amendments, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Now we are on the committee amendments, Senator Sieck.

SENATOR SIECK: Yes, we are on the bill now, or the amendments.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The committee amendments, as amended.

SENATOR SIECK: The committee amendments, yes. I move that we advance the committee amendments as drafted at the present time. And the reason for it is that this is something the President of the United States even asked us