

or the Attorney General or whatever, I'm going to say, well, gee whiz, this is what the Legislature wants to do and I might as well look at it in their light because I have no reason not to because they're going to do it anyway if they've got the number of votes. So as I say, I drew my amendment up for a different reason than Senator DeCamp. That's why I'm standing here debating it and asking for a vote on it because I think it's wrong to put the two in and to send that message and for us to commit ourselves at this time for \$20.5 million. I think it's wrong, absolutely wrong, and I think that if you'll stop and think of what you're doing it is wrong to do this, to send that message because you're committing yourself to paying out \$20.5 million, because it's quite obvious if there is the votes on this floor to defeat this amendment, to advance the bill and to do everything that is in that bill, then that's what you are doing. So that's the reasons I brought up taking out the 12 million irrespectly (sic) of what Senator DeCamp tried to do this morning. So I ask you to support my amendment. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The motion is the adoption of the Haberman amendment just under discussion. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. A simple majority still rules. It's an amendment to the committee amendment. Please record your vote. We are voting on the latest Haberman amendment to the committee amendments to LB 713. Please record your vote. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? A record vote has been requested I guess. Record the vote, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Record vote read as found on page 2142 of the Legislative Journal.) 10 ayes, 18 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: The amendment fails. Anything else on the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Vard Johnson would move to amend the committee amendments. (Johnson amendment appears on page 2142 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: Senator Vard.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, my amendment would deal with that intent language found on page 3 of the committee amendment. Currently the intent language says there are four reasons why we're appropriating