

we should be looking at doing something different and in retrospect I kind of regret that we killed one of Senator Richard Peterson's constitutional amendments. And I think probably what I will do is vote against this and file a motion to resurrect notwithstanding committee action Senator Peterson's motion which would have been 60 day sessions each year. We are at the point right now I think that it would have a lot of attraction because we would be looking at sine die adjournment maybe next week or the week after and the way it is we are looking clear up until the 1st of June. So I think I will vote against this motion but I think we should take a look at this and respect Senator Warner's thoughts because he is the only expert in this area in the body. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Wesely and asks for order in the Chamber, please. (Gavel.) Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. President, and members, Senator Rupp did describe the discussion in the committee when we killed this resolution. I would like to add further points to the ones that Senator Rupp made. In addition to the problem of some people not being able to serve when we start running longer in a particular year, you also have the problem of being able to keep up with key issues that come up on a regular basis. It seems like we never have enough time now even on the annual basis to deal with the sort of crises that come up each and every year. I think probably the faster pace of the issues, the speedy quick changes that occur in our economy, the problems that we have that are so more fast paced than they were many years ago would indicate a change back to biennial sessions would be a change in the wrong direction. We already have had special sessions that have been held a few years ago pretty regularly to try and deal with the changes in our economy. We have so many things happen overnight, court action that throws out tax statutes and court action that throws out other bills that has to be dealt with immediately, different problems that come up that need immediate attention. Just this year, for instance, the physician assistants had their bill totally changed by an Attorney General's Opinion. If we hadn't been meeting this session they would have been going for over a year with a problem that could have been easily rectified and this year will be with the bill, LB 132. And that sort of thing happens rapidly. In the Health Committee alone I can think of a lot of issues, for instance if this wasn't a