

April 18, 1980

LB 339

have been here. When they come in, we want to know whether they are self-serving, trying to keep people out of the profession, what they are doing is good for the public, who it is good for. We have always done that. I would agree with Senator Cullan. This is a consensus of the Legislature when you put it through and I don't think it should go through. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Venditte.

SENATOR VENDITTE: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, I have some serious reservations about this particular resolution. I think first and foremost it stems from the projected fiscal impact that such license procedures might have within the immediate future upon this state and, you know, the ceiling is the limit. If we are going to license whatever in this state, just to set up one licensing board I am sure we are talking several thousand dollars and I am sure Senator Wesely has in mind of perhaps licensing as many as twenty, thirty, forty, fifty, sixty more agencies within the next year or two but my major concern, of course, is the fiscal projected impact that such a resolution might bring. I will not vote for the resolution and I would certainly hope that you would do likewise.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. Speaker and colleagues, I would like to rise in support of the resolution that Senator Wesely has offered and I think Senator Venditte may perhaps misunderstand the impact of this resolution. This resolution that is designed to increase the burden of proof that various professions must have before commissions and boards can be established to regulate them and I think from what Senator Venditte suggested he would support this approach, that is that we are setting up too many boards and commissions, that the board and commission form of government really hasn't proved itself to be particularly workable or particularly viable in recent years, that they often lead to excessive governmental regulation, that boards and commissions often become captives of the industries they are designed to regulate, and that basically and fundamentally it is not a very good way of running government. Now really what this resolution does is it puts those professions on notice as to what various criteria they are going to have to satisfy to carry their burden that they are entitled to regulation and entitled to licensure. I think it is an excellent approach. It merely does that. It merely tells them what they have got to come in with if they expect to be

10408