

March 9, 1979

LR 22
LB 450

me and I have been damaged. I have been unable to get a job or whatever. No one, no one came in to testify that they had been harmed or discriminated against because of the lack of a law of this kind. No one came in to testify that they need this kind of a law. This bill as presented was one of four expungement of records type bills and I will explain them to you later. This is a beginning of a series of bills that you will probably be looking at in the future. Let's look at who testified for this bill. Mr. Chairman, I have been advised that this is going to be withdrawn. Do you know if this is true?

PRESIDENT: Yes. If you don't mind, I would like to recognize Senator Dworak. He advises me that he intends to make a statement, if you would yield.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, in that case I certainly don't want to go through all this.

PRESIDENT: If you would yield to Senator Dworak at this time, I think that would take care of it for you. So, Senator Dworak, the Chair recognizes you.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, colleagues, in respect to Senator Nichol and Senator Radcliffe, I respectfully ask for unanimous consent to withdraw this particular motion.

PRESIDENT: You just withdraw it, if you state, the movement of the motion, it is withdrawn. All right. Motion is withdrawn. Now is Senator Newell here? That concludes the matter on LB 450. Is Senator Newell here? Here he is. Senator Newell, we will take up then on motions, your motion on LR 22. Yes, read the motion, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, LR 22. Read. Mr. President, that resolution may be found on page 765 of the Legislative Journal.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, members of the body, the resolution is very brief, very simple. We say that deregulation should not happen by administrative fiat, that Congress ought to look at it, that Congress ought to make a decision on it and that any deregulation happen through full Congressional action whereby they can make sure that Nebraska is not forgotten in the process of deregulation. For that reason, I think it is very simple. I think that the statement is not one that says we are absolutely opposed to deregulation but that deregulation ought not happen in a piecemeal