

April 21, 1978

LB 564A, 566, 637,
639

PRESIDENT: On the advise of the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, this appropriation was in the Governor's budget and therefore it passes. For your information, all future bills, A bills we are going to consider will require 30 votes. Consequently LB 564A passes. Please read LB 566.

ASSISTANT CLERK: LB 566.

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is shall LB 566 pass. Record your vote. Make the record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 39 ayes, 3 nays, and 7 not voting. Vote appears on pages 2232-2233 of the Legislative Journal.

PRESIDENT: LB 566 passes. All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied...no, no, no. Please read LB 637.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Read LB 637.

PRESIDENT: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is shall LB 637 pass? Record your vote. Have you voted? Make the record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 38 ayes, no nays, 11 not voting. Vote appears on pages 2233-2234 of the Legislative Journal.

PRESIDENT: LB 637 passes. Please read LB 639.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion on the desk.

PRESIDENT: Read the motion.

CLERK: Senator Burrows moves to return LB 639 to Select File for a specific amendment. That amendment is to strike the enacting clause.

PRESIDENT: Senator Burrows.

SENATOR BURROWS: Mr. President, members of the body. I'd agreed and I feel that I am obligated to make a motion, a kill motion on this. I was, as vice-chairman carried it across General File and it went across on the consent calendar. But, at that time it went across it had a rebate provision in it. I could not get my light read and we had a motion to suspend debate at the point this was taken, it was not heard out and the opposition to a check off bill has not really been heard out on the floor at any time. I'm not exactly proud that I carried it across General File and spoke on behalf of the bill and the committee position. Because the corn check off is a tax against the corn on the assumption that if further markets are created it is going to drift back to the farmer, the federal government will not pass any embargo that will cut this off and it is multi-national corporations that will feed the profits or the benefits of any additional markets back to the farmers. I talked with quite a few farmers from back in my district and I had agreed that I would not support this measure if it did not have a rebate provision. We have a right to work law in this state, regarding labor. We have

09446