

SENATOR LAMB: Question, one question. Senator Lewis, how much money was appropriated to rebuild power lines in out-state Nebraska when the ice storm took those down?

SENATOR F. LEWIS: I don't know that. I know we sent a lot of money up there to remove snow, and I think even some of that might have been on private property and I voted for it. I ask the bill be returned.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: All right. Motion then is to bring back LB 965 for purposes of adopting the Frank Lewis amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Motion to return for the Frank Lewis amendment. Record the vote.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to return, Mr. President.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The bill is returned. Senator Frank Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: I move the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Motion to adopt the amendment. All those in favor of adopting the amendment vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record the vote.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on the adoption of the F. Lewis amendment, Mr. President.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The Frank Lewis amendment is adopted. Motion by Frank Lewis to readvance LB 965 to E & P for engrossment. All those in favor signify by saying aye, opposed nay. LB 965 is advanced. Another amendment, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Cal Carsten moves to return LB 965 to Select File for specific amendment.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The Chair recognizes Senator Cal Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I am really a little reluctant to do this but I do feel it is extremely important that we bring LB 965 back for this specific amendment. It was LB 40 and it is basically a study of the by-products from the gasohol program. We have been told and at this point stumbling over the economic feasibility of gasohol but there are many uses yet to be determined of the use of the by-products. What this bill would do or what this amendment would do would put into the hands of the chemistry department out at the Ag College \$50,000 that will be used as a basis for the accumulation of further grants and contributions to be used in the study of the by-products. Dr. Scheller and Dr. Edwards have presented a program when the bill was heard before the committee. There was no opposition to it. The program was presented to the committee of what they would do and would be doing and it would be analyzed after a year to see if they were doing the job or if they were not and, of course, if they were not getting the job done, it would be deleted. The bill was held in the Appropriations Committee. It was my hopes that they would incorporate this into an appropriation bill but they did not see fit