

in the bill that they may have only one three day license a year. Won't this take that particular item off of the agenda of the events that they are offering? I am sure it is a nickel and dime operation but it is part of the fun of the event.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Murphy, gambling is a touchy area. I can see everybody gets nervous and fidgety except me so the only thing I can say that people will do is vote down this amendment. I am not advocating that but I know that gambling is an easy way to make money. It takes from the people offering them something that you know the majority of them are not going to have a chance in the world of winning. We are holding up the chimera of a pot of gold at the end of a rainbow and that is the way to exploit and, really, it is trickery on the people. So since you all want the cities to have the right to do this, then I guess you will have to vote down the amendment.

SENATOR MURPHY: Thank you for your answer.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: There aren't any more lights on so the time for closing, Senator Chambers, if you will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I can see what the outcome on this vote is going to be, but nevertheless, if it is voted down 48 to 1, I am going to still push for what I think is right. I can not see the propriety of a government tricking its citizens into gambling. The evils of gambling are acknowledged if it is conducted by the Mafia. There is not that much difference between the Mafia and some people in government already. They work very closely together. Mr. Nixon and the Mafia were going to run a game across Castro if possible so the mob is all right when they are doing the things that certain people want them to do. Only when they compete is there a problem. For example, the churches and the syndicates and the racketeers get along all right, if they allow the churches to monopolize Sunday morning. Then the churches and the gamblers, the racketeers, can get along the rest of the week conducting the same activities. But the churches, and now the cities are stepping into the position that the churches have been granted to be allowed to gamble under certain specified conditions, the churches don't want gambling on Sunday morning to compete with churching. Well, now if the churches can compete with the racketeers any time, why can't the racketeers compete with the churches and you find the same people in the gambling den as you find in church anyway. They just put on a different suit, a different set to their face. They look happy in the gambling den if they win. They look mad when they come to church. The preacher looks mad. Everybody is mad. Now gambling has been brought into the church, you want to bring it into the city. Senator Fowler wanted racing on Sunday. Senator Maxey wanted to not let them race in competition with the churches. Well, you should be able to give people a clear choice. Choose you this day whom you will serve. You should be able to gamble all the time and under any circumstances and in any way you choose or not gamble at all. I am equating churches and cities now so that I am still on the subject. I wouldn't dream really anymore of attacking gambling as such because that would be a direct attack on religion. Why there are religions, some