

April 13, 1978

or down with a mere explanation by the introducer of what the bill is, a very short, brief explanation and a vote up or down if that's agreeable to the introducers and agreeable to the body that certainly would be my way of dealing with them so we would not take very much time to do it, but I would be in favor of treating them that way because I do think it's only fair.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: I just have a question of the Speaker, if I might. Senator Luedtke, if we went ahead with the Final Reading and such, would we have time to do this in a normal course?

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Well, I would hope that we would go ahead with Final Reading. That's the primary task of today and then before we take up Select File that we would, after lunch, that we would take up this particular matter and then go on to Select File for the rest of the day. That would be the way I would suggest it if you act favorably on Senator Kahle's motion, that that's the way we handle it.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, I would object to this procedure because we're only going to hear one side of the issue. We're going to hear the proponent of the bill and we're going to limit the debate so that nobody on the opposing side has a chance to make any statements and I submit that that is not a correct procedure and I think we should vote this down.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lamb, I don't think the Speaker has put this forward as a motion but as a suggestion. I would have to recognize anyone to speak on it in the absence of a suspension of the rules and I don't think the Speaker has asked that the rules be suspended. It's a plea more than an order. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Legislature, that's all right. He's working and I don't mind.

PRESIDENT: And you're not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I work under adverse conditions often but this is not adverse. This is a pleasure, a good gentleman. Members of the Legislature, I don't think we can reach the point in the session where bills which we may not approve of will be advanced just as a favor. In spite of how distasteful it may be I'm going to stand up here and say again what I see as my responsibility and it is not to do favors to people on legislation which is going to impact on the citizens of this state. I know that spirits raise and people may become more jovial or feel that they have responsibilities or debts to pay in terms of log rolling and horse trading. I have never been a party to that and I won't be in the waning days of the session. If the bills legitimately come up for discussion and I approve of those bills and support them, I would vote to advance them like I've done other measures that I agree with, but I am not as a favor to anybody in this Legislature going to suspend what I see as my right responsibility. I would