

They oppose efforts in the U.S. Congress to pass a human life amendment, and they oppose LR 152 for the same reasons. Those reasons are simple. They are in favor of abortion on demand. But, you my fellow Senators know this. You are experts in the legislative process. You can sift the wheat from the shaft very readily. You know well the reasons that I am supporting this call for a limited Constitutional Convention. It is because the U.S. Congress has failed so far to act. You know what they need, they need some prodding and the passage of LR 152 is one significant way we can prod them on to pass appropriate legislation which would make a Constitutional Convention completely unnecessary. I think that we are all sophisticated enough in political matters to realize that such prodding is often successful. So far ten states have passed a call for a limited federal Constitutional Convention, yesterday, late last night Pennsylvania was the eleventh and I am hoping that Nebraska is the twelfth. I think that if this Resolution is passed, I think that we will add that necessary pressure to Congress. This issue is so important because it involves the direct killing of one million, one million innocent human lives each year. If the U.S. Congress continues to resist, no matter how many millions of their pro-life constituents urge them to pass a human life amendment, and if the U.S. Congress even ignores the calls of so many state legislatures and does not act by itself, then and only then would a Constitutional Convention become mandatory. The issue is that important because all innocent human lives must be protected by law. No nation can remain great and strong which kills off its young. Why? Because no nation which kills off its young can remain free. That is all Mr. President. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: There has been much said and distributed by myself to every Senator on the floor this morning regarding the calling of a Constitutional Convention. I have talked to a lot of you personally and have tried to answer your questions. I do have some material here that I would like to read off to you, especially on the Constitutional Convention calls that were introduced here by members of this body, in the State of Nebraska. LR 9, January 18, 1965 introduced by Terry Carpenter requesting Congress to call a Constitutional Convention, the Government of the United States should not engage in any business, professional, Commercial, Financial or Industrial Enterprise except what is specified in the Constitution. LR 14, January 26, 1965, introduced by Cecil Craft, Elvin Adamson, and Herb Nore to request Congress to call a Constitutional Convention concerning apportionment, the United States Government should not be able to restrict or limit any state in the apportionment of representation in the Legislature. The Resolution was adopted by the Nebraska Legislature with 35 ayes, 12 nays and 2 not voting. LR 42, May 7, 1965 introduced by Kenneth Bowen requesting Congress to call a Constitutional Convention to provide for a fair and just division of electoral votes within the states of the election of the President and Vice President of the United States, Resolution adopted 31 to nothing. LR 72, introduced by Marvin Stromer and Richard Marvel requesting Congress to call a Constitutional Convention relating to the method of succession of the Presidency and Vice Presidency. Adopted, 47 ayes, 0 nays. No convention was called however because Congress voted to adopt the amendment. It was then sent to