

man said "Well it will warm them up". So the satyr kicked him out of his house and said "A man who blows hot and cold out of the same mouth cannot be trusted". Now when a proposition, such as this, has been rejected by the Legislature then the ones come back and say they supported the other concept of the bottle bill, and then rejected that, and then substituted this. I say that's blowing hot and cold out of the same mouth. I say also that it's trying to wear two heads under one hat, or is it two hats on one head, I think. Anyway it's an inconsistent position. This bill is serious enough in its implications. The changes which are being mandated, even on local governments, that I think a public hearing is justified. Contrary to what Senator DeCamp has stated, the image of the Legislature should make some kind of difference to the members. I think what Senator Stoney said is correct. A bill which does the things that this bill is going to do, I'm glad Senator DeCamp is back. I want to ask him a question. Senator DeCamp, in Section 3 of your amendment. I'll read the language. "The local subdivisions of government shall establish a youth corp for the purpose of furnishing employment to unemployed youth on a part-time basis, and shall cooperate with any and all governmental and private programs aimed at providing jobs for youth". Do you feel that is an appropriate provision to put into a litter bill?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I think it's terrific. I think any time that you can offer the Boy Scouts and church groups, unemployed youth an opportunity to be earning some money instead of sitting on their duff, an opportunity to clean up the roadsides and ditches in the country, instead of sitting around pool halls, I think that is a contribution. There is no creation of any new government agencies or anything like that. It just says you've turned over to the local community these funds to make some good use of in the area of cleaning up litter. I think that is good.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator DeCamp, does this language say that the local subdivisions shall establish a youth corp, or does it say they may?

SENATOR DeCAMP: As I made clear in the previous debate on this, it says shall. So we know they are going to be dealing with unemployed youth, this type of thing. So they are going to be using these people to clean up litter.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Wait a minute. Is there anything in....

SENATOR DeCAMP: Would you like "may", would you like to weaken it a little? I'll go along with that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is there anything in the bill that says .... we're taking what you have suggested to the Legislature. Is there anything in your amendment, anywhere...

PRESIDENT: You have one minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...which says that this youth corp would aim at the cleaning up of litter? He's going to clean up some litter himself, so I'll tell you the answer is no. This youth corp could be used for any purpose, for any program, whether public or private. I think it is inappropriate to establish that in a bill of this sort. I will seek an Attorney General's opinion. But in the mean time I support Senator Stoney's motion.