

budget so we are in it.

SENATOR MURPHY: Well, I guess maybe I misunderstood it then because it was my thought that we were going to dispose of the Legislature's budget first and then make a determination of what was left to spend.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Oh, no, that was not my understanding and I will let Senator Warner speak for himself on that.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, Senator Murphy, initially, the motion to hold A bills was written to hold them until all of the bills that had been advanced from the Appropriations Committee for the normal operations had been considered and then I subsequently amended that before the motion was adopted to read March 10th believing at that time that the committee's recommendations would be out on the floor and the members would be aware of the dollar impact and the report that we presented to you this morning does indicate the amount of dollars that are available for A bills and other additions to the appropriation bill, if the body approves. If your suggestion is that all of the appropriation bills, all the A bills ought to be held until all action on the appropriation bills are completed, I would not object. That was my original opinion. On the other hand, with the information the members have in their hands, they can easily recognize that each time we enact an A bill, particularly one of any size, that you know there will be... there is only a few dollars to cover them, and as for an example, if all the bills on the Board today are enacted, there will be no more additional funds as a practical matter for additional A bills without disturbing the tax rates.

PRESIDENT: Read on Final Reading LB 212.

CLERK: Motion on the desk, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Read the motion.

CLERK: Senator Barnett moves to return LB 212 for a specific amendment. The specific amendment is: Read amendment found on page 1181, Legislative Journal.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I have talked to Senator Kremer about this and he agrees that this is an amendment that should have been on the bill. This is the way the bill should have been drafted plus the fact that we have got one other thing that we was talking about that we are trying to clear up with the bill. It is not a delay tactic. It is a tactic to make this bill acceptable to everybody and the date would still give you another session to go through. Jail Standards Review has the issues already before them. It does not take two and a half years to implement this act and 1979 is what Senator Kremer and myself both agreed upon at one time on this bill and that is why we are trying to do this. It will give you still over a year to implement the act and get it ready to go and that is the reason I ask for this bill to be brought back for the specific amendment.