

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Final Reading. All nonlegislative personnel leave the floor. Legislators will be at their desks. We will commence with Final Reading. Start with, Mr. Clerk, LB 259. I believe there is a motion. Senator Mills, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR MILLS: Point of clarification, Mr. President.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: State your point.

SENATOR MILLS: It relates to 259, the Final Reading. I have a question I'd like to address to Senator DeCamp.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The purpose you say is clarification? It's not debate.

SENATOR MILLS: No, it's not debate.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: All right. We shall allow that. Ask the question and then we'll....

SENATOR MILLS: All right. I'll ask the question of Chair.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Is this for a bill, or what?

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Listen to the question.

SENATOR MILLS: I'll ask the question of the Chair, if you would like, Mr. President.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: All right, while don't you go ahead and do that.

SENATOR MILLS: Mr. President, the question I have, relating to 259, is it the intent that those people, who perhaps might have asked for a charter for an industrial loan investment company, come under this particular law as it is passed with the emergency, or will they be under the old law as I assumed when I offered the amendment to 259 creating public necessity. How do you interpret that? I think it's important that this body understand that.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: This would not be by way of debate. Only Senator DeCamp, by way of explanation so that intent of the bill is clear. Go ahead, proceed, Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, when major changes were made in 259, Senator Mills amendments and so on and so forth, this changed the nature of things. So there was no intent, at least certainly not on my part and I don't think on the part of any responsible person, to go backwards or try to affect the past. It only looked prospectively to the future. So absolutely not, as far as I'm concerned. The legislative intent, as far as I'm concerned, is to look to the future. I questioned, if you tried to do it the other way, whether it would be constitutional. Then you'd jeopardize the whole bill and everything else. There is no intent to do that.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Senator Mills, does that answer your question?

SENATOR MILLS: I believe it does mine.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: All right. Senator Duis, for what purpose do you arise? This was a matter of explanation.