

February 15, 1978

that list and find fifty pretty easily. So those are the arguments in favor of it. If we're going to process business, if we're going to meet our responsibility within the constitutional limitation of sixty days, then we have to have some system to move ahead when it bogs down or when somebody determines to bog it down. The arguments against it, of course, you will hear, but briefly they are we have never in this body had a system whereby we limited debate or discussion. We have always had it as long as it took. I'm not sure that in 1978 we can handle our business in a responsible manner unless we have some additional system for dealing with one issue after another. You know last year I tried with Senator Luedtke and some others to get this policy adopted, and we failed by two or three votes. You remember at the end of the session we did bog down and we had to adopt artificial bandage type methods of short circuiting or suspending the rules or something or other like that. I think maybe this is the year we have to test this policy, this method and so I would urge you to adopt this rule, but I do not kid you, I do not fool you, it is a major departure from our policy in this Legislature. It is a major change in the way that we would handle business. If things move orderly it might never need to be invoked, but if they don't, at least a system is provided to move ahead. It takes thirty votes to adopt it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: I have several lights on. Senator DeCamp, for my own information, could you please advise the Chair as to whether or not this new rule change contemplates debate on the move for cloture itself?

SENATOR DE CAMP: It does not.

PRESIDENT: Thank you.

SENATOR DE CAMP: The cloture motion would be automatic, thirty minutes after it is turned in to you.

PRESIDENT: So the mere mention of the motion invokes cloture.

SENATOR DE CAMP: That is correct.

PRESIDENT: Senator Newell.

SENATOR DE CAMP: Can I give you some additional information? The proposal was made for what you are talking about in Committee. That proposal was rejected. That was Senator Newell's proposal.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, members of the body, what we have here is not only a cloture motion, but it is not a motion which the body can participate in. It is the introducer's motion only. What happens with this motion is merely this. The introducer of the bill or the Chairman of the Committee, and this is one of the sticklers in the whole process, the Chairman of the Committee may in fact be opposed to this bill and want it to die and therefore might even use this cloture motion on a very complicated bill in order to just plain kill the bill. But the situation here is this. That the introducer of the bill or the Chairman of the Committee can put up a motion but it's not a motion, it is in fact an order. It says, there