

amendment again. I am not sure where it goes in this bill, which line.

CLERK: Senator, it is offered to the Standing Committee amendment in line 4, following the word "may", he wants to strike the words "notwithstanding any other provisions in the Constitution and".

SENATOR KOCH: Notwithstanding any other provisions of the Constitution. I will accept that amendment then on this. I am sorry. I do not accept the amendment. I understand Senator Warner's concern, and first of all, I think Senator Warner would admit that he has not been necessarily a strong supporter of this type of legislation and he does have a concern of how it might affect other sections of the Constitution, Article VII, Article VIII, Article XIII and Article III, where they deal with certain kinds of taxations that pertains to schools and school districts. However, this bill, as I understand it, and at least as I interpret it, if passed would pertain only to cities in terms of how they indeed would use it as a tool for the purpose of redeveloping an area. So therefore, I do not believe that Senator Warner's amendment using the word "may" is necessary at this time, because as he has indicated also, you virtually have to take the bill back and add I don't know how many other sections in order to try to treat it all completely and we are adding a new section, section 12. Therefore, I oppose the amendment of Senator Warner.

PRESIDENT: Would you want to close the debate on your amendment, Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes, Mr. President. I readily admit that I am coming from the direction of opposition to the amendment, itself, that is the constitutional amendment, but the body has indicated on General File that they wished to submit it to the voters. I am now suggesting to you that because of the language contained in the proposed bill of notwithstanding other provisions of the Constitution that you will be asking the voters to indirectly amend at least four other sections probably and those four sections are the ones that prohibits the use of school funds, funds collected for schools, for some other purpose. The second one regards the uniformity of taxation and assessment may be affected. Another which may be affected is that the state would not give aid to private parties and another section of the Constitution is the one which prohibits donation of land into the control of the state and there may possibly be other provisions of the Constitution that would be indirectly amended as well and it seems to me that if the concept that is proposed in 460 is worthy, certainly it is also worthy that the voters are aware that if they adopt this amendment that they are in fact amending several other sections by this all-inclusive statement of "notwithstanding other provisions of the Constitution". I think it is unfair to place a constitutional amendment on the ballot without full disclosure as to its impact and as it is proposed there is no way that the voter would probably have that opportunity to know the full effect of it as it is proposed. I would suggest to you that if you totally support the amendment, however, you would not probably want to adopt my amendment because