

I would move for the adoption of the committee amendments to strike "three" and add "two". It is on page four of the bill, lines 9 and 10.

PRESIDENT: Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: I would like to ask Senator Barnett a question or two. Senator Barnett, does that mean that the statutes that I have at home, when people call me and so forth, that I have to bring those back, is that what it means?

SENATOR BARNETT: I don't know what you do with your three sets. I am assuming one set is at home, one is in your office and one, where is the other one at, in here.

SENATOR NEWELL: There is two sets in my office, one for staff, for some other people to use.

SENATOR BARNETT: Okay, if you would have to bring the one back from home, you would not bring it back now. You just wouldn't get a third set. You would have to leave it there. They won't pick up the third set. The ones that have them now would keep the three sets.

SENATOR NEWELL: All right, but I would never get the supplements.

SENATOR BARNETT: You would never get replacements or supplements for the third set.

SENATOR NEWELL: I was wondering, what member of the committee proposed that we bring it back to two?

SENATOR BARNETT: I think it was either Senator Cullan or Senator Stoney. You'd have to ask those two. They waved their hands so I am assuming it was one of those two. I don't know for sure.

SENATOR NEWELL: Senator Cullan, I will ask, I don't want to tangle with Larry today. Senator Cullan, what is the purpose for bringing the statute books back, moving it back from three to two.

SENATOR CULLAN: First of all, it would not affect the statutes you have currently. It would just affect those that are provided to members of the Legislature in the future and the only intent, we felt that two sets, two sets of statutes was enough, and it would just reduce the fiscal impact associated with the Legislature with issuing statutes and that is the only intent, Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: All right, one other question, Senator Cullan. Is it possible that for those, that we could work out an arrangement where those people would be issued, an amendment, I mean to satisfy everybody, the people would be issued two sets, and if they applied for or asked for a third set, they could, in fact, get that. Would that satisfy you?

SENATOR CULLAN: Senator Newell, I think that it is a very clear issue. You should either, if you think two is enough, then vote yes. If you think three is enough, then vote no.